

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,334	07/03/2003	Joseph Saladino	IOI-453	5122	
37235	7590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER		
ZIMMER TECHNOLOGY, INC.			PREBILIC, PAUL B		
150 N. WAC	KER DRIVE				
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606	•	3738		
				DATE MAIL ED: 10/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ſ		
τ.	_	Application No	. Applicant(s)			
		10/613,334	SALADINO ET AL	- O _U		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul B. Prebilic	3738	· ·		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence ac	ldress		
THE - Extended after aft	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) O period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nication. days, a reply within the statutory minus and will expire and will expire till, by statute, cause the application to the statute.	never, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this companies to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 03 July 2002.				
2a)□	•					
3)□		<i>'</i> —		e merits is		
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims	, , ,	,			
_		polication				
4)[4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
E \□	•	William Hom Consider	allon.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · —	Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🖂	•					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election require	ment.			
• •	tion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the		:			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held	I in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is required if th	e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the	e attached Office Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for D All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of	ocuments have been reco	eived.	Stage		
	application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).			
*	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified c	opies not received.			
Attachme	•					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5) 🖳	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT) Other:	O-152)		

No restriction requirement between the various species disclosed and claimed is being given at this time. However, the Examiner reserves the right to give a restriction requirement in the future.

Claim Objections

Claims 7, 11, 12, and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 7, the language "include . . . and 6 mm" appears to be an improperly stated Markush group; see MPEP 2173.05(h). The Examiner suggest changing this language to read ---include . . . or 6 mm--- or ---selected from the group consisting of . . . 6 mm--- is this is what was intended.

Regarding claim 11, line 2, the language "selected from" is an incomplete Markush statement; see MPEP 2173.05(h). The Examiner suggests replacing this language with ---selected from the group consisting of--- in order to overcome this objection.

Regarding claim 12, line 1 and claim 14, line 2, the language "including" is confusing since the element preceding this term had features already presented earlier in this claim or the base claim. The Examiner suggesting changing "including" to --further including--- in order to overcome this objection.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/613,334

Art Unit: 3738

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-10, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fallin (US 5,108,452). Fallin anticipates the claim language where the femoral head as claimed is met by the head (27) of Fallin, and the neck is met by one of the sleeves (45) closest to the head (27); see Figures 1 to 5 and column 6, lines 13-68.

Regarding claim 3, the bore as claimed is the conical inner surface (47) of Fallin.

Regarding claims 8 and 18, the adjustment mechanism as claimed is met by the sleeve (45) between the sleeve (45) directly contacting the head (27) and the neck (14) of Fallin.

Regarding claim 15, the sleeves of Fallin are considered to be ring shaped to the extent that this language can be given patentable weight.

Claims 1-5, 8, 13, 14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Subba Rao et al (US 2001/0051831). Subba Rao anticipates the claim language where the femoral head as claimed is the ball member of Subba Rao, and the neck as claimed is the neck (40) and/or coupling member (26) of Subba Rao; see the abstract, Figures 1 and 2, and paragraphs [0032] to [0037].

Regarding claim 8, the neck as claimed is the neck (40) of Subba Rao, the adjustment mechanism is coupling member (26), and the head is head (27).

Regarding claim 17, the shoulder as claimed is flange (62) which would abut the coupling member if it were adjusted as such; see Figure 4B where if the spring were fully compressed than the coupling member would abut the shoulder.

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7, 11, 12, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fallin (US 5,108,452). Fallin meets the claim language as explained in the Section 102 rejection above but fails to disclose offsets of 1 mm increments or the number of offsets as claimed; Fallin calls his offsets sleeves. However, since Fallin discloses the concept of multiple offsets (see supra), it is the Examiner's position that it would have been considered prima fascia obvious to have any number of sleeves of various sizes and quantities in order to make the device fit as many individuals as possible. This would improve the usefulness of the device. Moreover, at the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make four or more sleeves of thickness from 1mm to 6 mm because Applicants have not disclosed that doing so provides some advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicants' invention to perform equally well because it would be able to fit a wide variety of patients. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Fallin to obtain the invention as specified in the claims.

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (703) 308-2905. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott Corrine can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 3738